

# 2285 Battersea Road Stormwater Management Report

October 2018

### Prepared for:

BPE Development 141 Hickson Avenue Kingston, Ontario K7K 2N7

### Submitted by:

The Greer Galloway Group Inc. 2A-640 Cataraqui Woods Drive Kingston, Ontario K7P 2Y5

T: (613) 536-5420 F: (613) 548-3793 www.greergalloway.com

Project No.: 18-5-6085

# Table of Contents

1.0	INTE	RODUCTION	1
1 1 1	.1 .2 1.2.1 1.2.2 .3 .4	Scope of Report Background Data 1 Survey/Site Layout 2 Geotechnical Information Standards and Guidelines Design Criteria	1 1 1 1 2 2
2.0	EXIS	STING CONDITIONS	2
2 2 2	.1 .2 .3	Existing Drainage Patterns Existing Soil Conditions Summary of Existing Catchments	2 
3.0	PRO	POSED DEVELOPMENT	3
3. 3.	.1 .2	Post-Development Drainage Patterns Summary of Post-Development catchments	
4.0	HYD	ROLOGIC MODELLING	5
4 4 4 4	.1 .2 .3 .4	Design Storms Model Inputs Pre-Development Runoff Post-Development Runoff.	
5.0	STO	RMWATER QUANTITY CONTROL AND QUALITY TREATMENT	6
5 5 5	.1 .2 .3	Stormwater Quantity Treatment Stormwater Quality Treatment Major and Overland Flows	
6.0	MAII	NTENANCE	9
7.0	ERO	SION CONTROL	9
8.0	CON	ICLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	9

# Table of Tables

Table 1: Summary of Post-Development Catchment Properties	3
Table 2: Summary of Post-Development Catchment Properties	4
Table 3: Summary of SCS Type II Design Storm	5
Table 4: Summary of Pre-Development Peak Flows	6
Table 5: Summary of Post Development Peak Flows - Uncontrolled	6
Table 6: Phase 1 Change in Peak Flow – Pre vs. Post (Uncontrolled)	6
Table 7: Proposed Wet Pond Storage Discharge Properties	7
Table 8: Summary of Post Development Peak Flows - Controlled	7
Table 9: Change in Peak Flow – Pre vs. Post (Controlled)	8
Table 10: Required Extended Detention	8
Table 11: MOE Wet Pond Volume Requirements and Proposed Wet Pond Volumes	8



# Table of Figures

Figure 1: Site Location	.1
Figure 2: Watershed for unnamed tributary to River Styx	.3

# **Table of Appendices**

Appendix A – Drawings Appendix B – Hydrologic & Hydraulic Calculations Appendix C – Test Pit Logs



### **1.0 Introduction**

The Greer Galloway Group was retained by BPE Development to prepare a stormwater management report for a proposed site development. The development is located at 2285 Battersea Road in Kingston, ON, as shown in Figure 1. The proposed development includes the construction of a several new commercial buildings including: rental cabins, event venue, spa, boutique hotel and a bar/lounge area, two new entrances to Unity Road and Battersea Road respectively, and two new parking lots on the east side of the property. There are several existing buildings on site that will remain as part of the new development. The proposed site plan in included in Appendix A.



Figure 1: Site Location

### 1.1 Scope of Report

This Stormwater Management Report is intended to examine the effects of the proposed redevelopment on stormwater runoff conditions on the site. The report has considered existing and post construction conditions and has presented a stormwater management design that will maintain "pre-development" conditions on site after development.

### 1.2 Background Data

Background data pertaining to the site was provided by BPE Development and the City of Kingston. This information was used to complete the detailed stormwater management design. The background data is summarized below.

### 1.2.1 Survey/Site Layout

A detailed topographic survey was completed by Greer Galloway and used to interpret the watershed boundaries and complete the site grading plan. The site plan is included in Appendix A.

### **1.2.2 Geotechnical Information**

A test pit investigation was performed by ASC Environmental. This information was used to confirm soil type. The test pit logs are included in Appendix C.





### 1.3 Standards and Guidelines

The following standards and guidelines were consulted:

- Stormwater Management Practices Planning and Design Manual Ministry of the Environment, 2003;
- MTO Drainage Management Manual Ministry of Transportation, 1997;
- Site Plan Control Guidelines The City of Kingston, 2009;
- Urban Hydrology for Small Watershed TR-55 Manual United States Department of Agriculture, 1986

### 1.4 Design Criteria

The design criteria for the stormwater management design are based on the requirements of Cataraqui Region Conservation Authority (CRCA), the Ministry of the Environment (MOE) and the City of Kingston. The key design criteria are summarized below:

- The development has been identified as requiring Level 2 (Normal) treatment as per the CRCA and the City of Kingston.
- The stormwater management system shall be designed to ensure that the post-development flows are equivalent to or less than the pre-development peak flows.
- Sediment transference and erosion is to be mitigated during and after construction of the proposed site development.

### 2.0 Existing Conditions

The subject site is located at 2285 Battersea Road, Kingston, Ontario. The site has approximately 295m of road frontage on Battersea Road, and approximately 155m of road frontage on Unity Road. The site consists of two properties, the south lot has an approximate size of 7.0ha and contains existing single-family dwelling and barn. These existing building will be incorporated into the new development. The remainder of this lot is primarily grassed open field. The north lot has an approximate size of 6.8ha and consists mainly of wooded area. The development site is shown in Figure 1 below.

### 2.1 Existing Drainage Patterns

The properties surrounding the site consist primarily of either open fields or single family- residential properties. There is no contributing flow from northwest of the site, as the property borders the watershed that drains to Collins Lake. The site, and the properties directly north of the site, are part of the watershed area for an unnamed tributary of River Styx. Drainage is facilitated primarily via roadside ditches, there is no stormwater infrastructure in this area. There is a cross culvert located south of Unity road that facilitates flow from the properties west of Battersea Road. The analysis of the proposed development has been limited to the development site itself, as it is assumed the adjacent properties do not contribute any significant stormwater runoff.





Figure 2: Watershed for unnamed tributary to River Styx

Under existing conditions, the entirety of the site sheet drains to a roadside ditch along Battersea Road. The ditch drains via cross culvert beneath Unity Road, and outlets to another cross culvert approximately 400m south of the site. Pre-Development drawings are included in Appendix A.

### 2.2 Existing Soil Conditions

According to the test pit investigation completed by ASC Environmental, the development site consists of mostly sandy silt, which falls in hydrologic soil group B. The depth to bedrock ranges from 1.5m to 0.5m across the site. The test pit log is included in Appendix C.

### 2.3 Summary of Existing Catchments

The individual catchment properties are listed in Table 1.

Table 1: Summary of Post-Development Catchment Properties							
Catchment	Catchment Area (ha) CN % Impervious						
101	7.00	65	2				

The Soil / land use curve numbers (CN) have been taken from the Ministry of Transportation Drainage Management Manual – Design Chart 1.08: Hydraulic Soil Groups and Design Chart 1.09: Soil/Land Use Curve Numbers and the Urban Hydrology for Small Watersheds TR-55 Manual Table 2-2a: Runoff Curve Numbers for Urban Areas.

### **3.0 Proposed Development**

The proposed development includes the construction of several buildings including: an addition to the existing house and a new building for the hotel, outdoor washroom facilities, an event building, a vineyard building and 39 rental cabins. Also included in the design plans are the addition of gravel roads and parking lots. The remaining footprint will consist of cultivated vineyard and landscaped/grass areas. Post Development Drawings are included in Appendix A.



The proposed development is to be constructed in two Phases. Phase 1 encompasses the development of the south lot. The proposed construction plan for Phase 1 includes the construction of the proposed event buildings, parking lot, 15 cabins, and the proposed roadway. Phase 2 stormwater management will be discussed in a sperate document.

The construction of the new development will alter the existing land cover composition of the property. There will be an overall increase in the impervious surfaces.

### 3.1 Post-Development Drainage Patterns

The proposed site and grading plan will divide Phase 1 into four (4) catchment areas. All catchment areas will ultimately drain into the roadside ditch along Battersea Road. Post-Development drawings are included in Appendix A.

The south lot, which consists of the majority of the buildings and parking lots, will drain to the roadside ditch via an outlet at the corner of Battersea Road and Unity Road. Runoff will be conveyed to this outlet through sheet flow, swale and a catch basin system. A wet pond will be constructed in the southeast corner of the site for stormwater storage. An outlet control will be implemented at the outlet of the pond to control flow quantities.

**Area 201** is comprised of the northern part of Phase 1. The 3.32 ha site includes a portion of the vineyard area, several cabins and a portion of the proposed roadway. This area will drain south to the catch basin system, via grassed conveyance swale and overland sheet flow and outlet to the wet pond.

**Area 202** is comprised of the central portion of the site. This 1.12 ha area consists of the several of the proposed event buildings, cabins, and gravel roadway. Runoff will drain to the catch basin system via grassed conveyance swales and overland sheet flow and outlet to the proposed wet pond.

Area 203 consists of 0.51 ha area that includes the gravel parking area, and the existing driveway, which will drain via overland sheet flow to the catch basin system and outlet to the wet pond.

**Area 204** comprises 2.05 ha of the southern portion of the site. This area consists primarily of vineyard area, the existing house and several proposed event buildings. Also included in this area is a portion of the proposed road and several cabins. Runoff will drain via overland sheet flow to the wet pond.

Phase 1 shall be graded such that all of the site runoff is directed towards the wet pond area, before it exits the site to the existing roadside ditch at the southeast corner of the property.

### 3.2 Summary of Post-Development catchments

The individual catchment properties are listed in Table 2.

Table 2: Summary of Post-Development Catchment Properties							
Catchment	Area (ha)	CN	% Impervious				
201	3.32	71	15				
202	1.12	77	31				
203	0.51	92	82				
204	2.05	73	18				
205	6.79	65	16				



### 4.0 Hydrologic Modelling

Hydraulic modelling was completed using HEC-HMS to simulate the storage requirements for the property. The modelling was completed using HEC-HMS, created by the US Army Corps of Engineers. This software simulates the precipitation and runoff processes of watersheds.

### 4.1 Design Storms

The SCS Type II storm was chosen as the design storm for this model. This storm provides a peak intensity that is suitable for sizing conveyance methods in urban settings and provides rainfall volumes that are suitable for sizing storage elements. This design storm has a distribution with extremely large peak intensities and produces a large amount of runoff in a short duration. The SCS Type II distribution was applied to intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) data provided by the MTO. The IDF data is included in Appendix B. The resulting rainfall hyetographs were used as storm files in the HEC-HMS hydrological models. All design storms were synthesized using a ten-minute time step as per standard practice.

Table 3: Summary of SCS Type II Design Storm						
Design Storm	Total Rainfall (mm)					
2 Year	52.8					
5 Year	72.0					
10 Year	84.0					
25 Year	98.4					
50 Year	108.0					
100 Year	120.0					

### 4.2 Model Inputs

Runoff coefficients were taken from the City of Kingston Guidelines and the DMM as noted below:

- Asphalt, concrete, roof areas 0.90
- Grassed area, parkland 0.25
- Wooded 0.25
- Gravel 0.90

Gravel was treated as asphalt to account for the potential for paving these areas in the future when considering required runoff storage volumes.

The composite runoff coefficients were used to calculated Time of Concentration for each of the post-development catchment areas. Time of Concentration ( $T_c$ ) values; have been calculated using the Airport, Bransby-Williams and Kirpich Formulas to provide a range of values. From these, an appropriate time has been determined based on the site conditions. Time to Peak values have been estimated as 2/3 the calculated Time of Concentration.



### 4.3 Pre-Development Runoff

The peak runoff flows from the pre-development catchment area as determined using HEC-HMS are summarized in Table 4. The HEC-HMS model output is included in Appendix B.

Table 4: Summary of Pre-Development Peak Flows								
Design Storm	Peak Flow (m³/s)							
SCS Type II	2 Year 24 Hr	5 Year 24 Hr	10 Year 24 Hr	25 Year 24 Hr	50 Year 24 Hr	100 Year 24 Hr		
Catchment #101	0.0277	0.1033	0.1913	0.2665	0.3380	0.4339		

### 4.4 Post-Development Runoff

The peak runoff flows from the post-development catchment areas as determined using HEC-HMS are summarized in Table 5. The HEC-HMS model output is included in Appendix B.

Table 5: Summary of Post Development Peak Flows - Uncontrolled								
Design Storm	Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)							
SCS Type II	2 Year 24 Hr	5 Year 24 Hr	10 Year 24 Hr	25 Year 24 Hr	50 Year 24 Hr	100 Year 24 Hr		
Catchment #201	0.0799	0.1573	0.2138	0.2869	0.3381	0.4043		
Catchment #202	0.0369	0.0698	0.0930	0.1227	0.1435	0.1701		
Catchment #203	0.0459	0.0659	0.0786	0.0940	0.1043	0.1171		
Catchment #204	0.0665	0.1232	0.1630	0.2141	0.2496	0.2952		

The table below summarizes the difference in pre-development and uncontrolled post-development peak flows for Phase 1. As illustrated in the table, post-development flows increase due to the increase in impervious area.

Table 6: Phase 1 Change in Peak Flow – Pre vs. Post (Uncontrolled)								
Design Storm	Net Change in Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)							
SCS Type II	2 Year 24 Hr	5 Year 24 Hr	10 Year 24 Hr	25 Year 24 Hr	50 Year 24 Hr	100 Year 24 Hr		
Pre-Development	0.0277	0.1033	0.1939	0.2665	0.3380	0.4339		
Post-Development	0.2292	0.4162	0.5484	0.7177	0.8355	0.9866		
Change	+ 0.2015	+ 0.3129	+ 0.3545	+ 0.4512	+ 0.4975	+ 0.5527		

### 5.0 Stormwater Quantity Control and Quality Treatment

There is an overall increase in runoff between pre-and post-development conditions due to an increase in impervious surfaces. The increase in peak runoff must be controlled such that the post development peak flows are equal to or less than pre-development peak runoff flows. In addition, Normal Treatment Level for stormwater runoff is required.

### 5.1 Stormwater Quantity Treatment

As per the recommendation of the CRCA in the Pre-Application report, storage will be facilitated via surface stormwater management facilities in order to maintain the hydrologic cycle and provide opportunity for groundwater recharge. Stormwater design calculations are included in Appendix B of this report.

The storage discharge relationship for the active storage in the proposed wet pond is summarized in the table below. The pond will have a permanent pool elevation of 124.75m, a max extended detention water level of 126.60m and a 0.3m



freeboard (126.90m). The proposed pond will provide an approximate maximum storage of 2789m<sup>3</sup> and a corresponding peak flow of 0.370m<sup>3</sup>/s at an elevation of 126.60m. The 100-year event produces a maximum storage requirement of 2042m<sup>3</sup> which corresponds to an approximate elevation of 126.30m and a peak flow rate of 0.317m<sup>3</sup>/s. The pond will have a 75mm quality orifice outlet and a 400mm quantity control orifice. Details regarding the construction of the outlet structure are included in Appendix B.

Table 7: Proposed Wet Pond Storage Discharge Properties								
Elevation (m)	Discharge (m <sup>3</sup> /s)	Extended Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )						
124.75	0.000	0						
124.80	0.001	105						
124.90	0.003	162						
125.00	0.005	276						
125.10	0.006	394						
125.20	0.007	517						
125.30	0.008	645						
125.40	0.014	778						
125.50	0.036	915						
125.60	0.082	1058						
125.70	0.158	1206						
125.80	0.197	1359						
125.90	0.226	1518						
126.00	0.252	1682						
126.10	0.275	1851						
126.20	0.296	2027						
126.30	0.317	2208						
126.40	0.335	2396						
126.50	0.353	2589						
126.60	0.370	2789						

Tables 8 and 9 summarize the controlled post development flows and net change in pre-development and post-development controlled peak flows.

Table 8: Summary of Post Development Peak Flows - Controlled							
Design Storm		Des	ign Storm SCS 1	ype II Peak Flow	/ (m³/s)		
SCS Type II	2 Year 24 Hr	5 Year 24 Hr	10 Year 24 Hr	25 Year 24 Hr	50 Year 24 Hr	100 Year 24 Hr	
Catchment #201	0.0799	0.1573	0.2138	0.2869	0.3381	0.4043	
Catchment #202	0.0564	0.0923	0.1165	0.1466	0.1672	0.1934	
Catchment #203	0.0531	0.0735	0.0862	0.1015	0.1117	0.1244	
Catchment #204	0.0665	0.1232	0.1630	0.2141	0.2496	0.2953	
Pond	0.0207	0.0985	0.1721	0.2295	0.2616	0.2977	



Table 9: Change in Peak Flow – Pre vs. Post (Controlled)							
Design Storm	Peak Flow (m <sup>3</sup> /s)						
SCS Type II	2 Year 24 Hr	5 Year 24 Hr	10 Year 24 Hr	25 Year 24 Hr	50 Year 24 Hr	100 Year 24 Hr	
Pre-Development	0.0277	0.1033	0.1913	0.2665	0.3380	0.4339	
Post-Development	0.0207	0.0985	0.1721	0.2295	0.2616	0.2977	
Change	-0.0070	-0.0048	-0.0192	-0.0370	-0.0764	-0.1362	

Table 10 summarizes the required extended detention to provide quantity control for the 2-year through 100-year storm event.

Table 10: Required Extended Detention					
Design Storm	Storage (m <sup>3</sup> )				
2 Year	820				
5 Year	1090				
10 Year	1261				
25 Year	1539				
50 Year	1753				
100 Year	2042				

### 5.2 Stormwater Quality Treatment

MOECC wet pond quality volume requirements and proposed pond volumes are listed in Table 11. MOECC requirements are base on the extrapolation of the values provided in Table 3.2 of the MOE Stormwater Planning and Design Manual. Protection volumes were calculated based on an impervious level of 23% and a development area of 7.0 ha.

Table 11: MOE Wet Pond Volume Requirements and Proposed Wet Pond Volumes							
Volume Requirements	MOE Requirement Enhanced (m <sup>3</sup> )	MOE Requirement Normal (m <sup>3</sup> )	Provided (m³)	Comment			
Total Storage	749	514	3608*	MOE requirements exceeded			
Permanent Pool Storage	469	234	819	MOE requirements exceeded			
Extended Storage	280	280	2789*	MOE requirements exceeded			

The pond exceeds the required Level 2 (Normal) Level of treatment and achieves Level 1 (Enhanced) quality treatment. A drawdown time of 12 hours was used as the 24-hour drawdown time resulted in a conflict with the minimum orifice size. The proposed permanent pool storage for the pond exceeds the required storage for quality control. Should the developer choose to deepen the permanent pool area of the pond, it will have no effect on the stormwater management capabilities.

### 5.3 Major and Overland Flows

Stormwater quantity control shall be provided by storage in a stormwater management pond. Enhanced swales which convey stormwater to the catch basin system in the parking lot have been sized for the 100-year event. The stormwater pipes have been sized to convey the 10-year storm flows. Therefore, major flow routes will be over the entryway for Catchments 201-203. Catchment 204 conveyance route will remain overland sheet flow.



### 6.0 Maintenance

As per the MOE Stormwater Management Planning and Design Manual For the first two years of operation, the stormwater management system shall be inspected after every significant storm to ensure proper functionality (approximately four times per year). Subsequently the system should be inspected annually in order to identify potential maintenance issues. The landowner shall be responsible for all future on-site inspection and maintenance requirements. Potential maintenance and inspection activities for the stormwater management system include:

- Vegetation Condition Annual weed control, including the removal of invasive species. Vegetation in a swale should be trimmed yearly to prevent takeover by woody species. Weed removal should be done by hand, without the use of herbicides. Grass should be maintained and kept at a height of approximately 150mm.
- **Obstruction Removal –** Obstructions and garbage should be cleaned from all swales, detention area and the outlet structure.
- **Swales –** Swales would be inspected regularly for signs of erosion. Any areas where erosion has occurred should be infilled and vegetated immediately.
- **Outlet Structure** outlet structure should be inspected for blockages, concrete cracks and outlet erosion. All blockages, cracks and outlet erosion should be repaired immediately to ensure proper function of the outlet structure.
- **Pond embankments –** The pond embankments should be inspected annually to confirm no groundwater seeps or slope failure.

It is expected that the need for major sediment removal will be very infrequent. Based on Figure 6.1 of the MOE Stormwater Planning and Management Design Manual, at 35% impervious sediment removal frequency is approximately every 45 years.

### 7.0 Erosion Control

During construction, a combination of light duty filter cloth, straw bale check dams, and other common measures will be applied to contain construction related suspended solids and other materials within the disturbed areas. All disturbed areas are to be bordered by light duty filter cloth, and straw bales or rip rap check dams are to be used where flows are concentrated. Only areas strictly required to proceed with construction will be stripped. Vegetated surfaces will be established as rapidly as possible, and the physical barriers noted above will be continuously maintained until the vegetative cover is suitably established. Filter fabric will be placed under the grate of the catch basin structures until natural surfaces are restored. The proposed stormwater management measures will be established prior to any other construction to provide runoff treatment and detention.

### 8.0 Conclusions and Recommendations

Based on the stormwater analysis described in this report, The Greer Galloway Group Inc. draws the following conclusions and recommendations regarding the impact of the proposed development at 2285 Battersea Road:

- Uncontrolled post-development flow will be greater than pre-development flows due to changes in surface cover.
- The proposed stormwater management design provides the required storage and outlet control to maintain postdevelopment flows at pre-development flow rates for all storm events up to a 100-year storm.
- The proposed stormwater storage pond has the capacity to store runoff from Phase 1 for up to a 100-year storm event with a maximum water elevation of 126.30.



• Permanent water quality protection (enhanced level) will be provided by the proposed wet pond to all stormwater runoff.

Respectfully Submitted,

Leah Wells, E.I.T. THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. ENGINEERS AND PLANNERS

Kevin Hawley, P.Eng. THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. ENGINEERS AND PLANNER



# Appendix A Drawings



DRAWN BY         REVIEWED BY         APPROVED BY         PROJECT DATE         01/06/18         DRAWING #         T1	PRE DEVELOPMENT         STORMWATER         05       05         04       03       04         02       01       ISSUED FOR REVIEW       06/20/18         REVISION       DATE       DATE         DESIGNED BY       TORMATER       DATE	UNITY INN & SPA 2885 BATTERSEA ROAD KINGSTON, ONTARIO	NORTH STAMP	<ul> <li>3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SPECIFIED OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.</li> <li>4. CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.</li> <li>5. CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK.</li> <li>6. ANY CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.</li> <li>A DETAIL NO.</li> <li>B DRAWING NO WHERE DETAILED</li> <li>LEGEND</li> </ul>	THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS PETERBOROUGH BELLEVILLE NINGSTON NOTES: 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT CODES AND GUIDELINES. 2. ALL DRAWINGS AND ADDENDA ARE TO BE READ AS, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS.



PRE DEVELOPMENT STORMWATER	NORTH STAMP PROJECT UNITY INN & SPA 2885 BATTERSEA ROAD KINGSTON, ONTARIO	THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS PETERBOROUGH BELLEVILLE NOTES: 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT codes and guidelines. 2. ALL DRAWINGS AND ADDENDA ARE TO BE READ AS, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS. 3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SPECIFIED OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT. 4. CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK AND BE RESPONSIBLE S. CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. 6. ANY CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. B DRAWING NO WHERE DETAILED LEGEND



PROVED BY REVIEWED BY PROJECT DATE 18-5-6085 DRAWING # C1 C1 DRAWING # C1	GRADING       PLAN         05       05         04       04         02       01         01       ISSUED FOR REVIEW         REVISION       DATE         DESIGNED BY       B. LEE	PROJECT UNITY INN & SPA 2885 BATTERSEA ROAD KINGSTON, ONTARIO	Image       EXISTING ELEVATION         Image       PROPOSED ELEVATION         GRASS       ASPHALT         GRAVEL       STORMWATER POND         PROPOSED STORM       SEWER         PROPOSED SWALE       PROPOSED SWALE	NOTES: 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVILLE 2. ALL DRAWINGS AND ADDENDA ARE TO BE READ AS, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS. 3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SPECIFIED OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT. 4. CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME. 5. CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. 6. ANY CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. B DRAWING NO. – WHERE DETAILED LEGEND



DRAWN BY         REVIEWED BY         APPROVED BY         PROJECT DATE         01/06/18         DRAWING #         T1	PRE DEVELOPMENT         STORMWATER         05       05         04       03       04         02       01       ISSUED FOR REVIEW       06/20/18         REVISION       DATE       DATE         DESIGNED BY       TORMATER       DATE	UNITY INN & SPA 2885 BATTERSEA ROAD KINGSTON, ONTARIO	NORTH STAMP	<ul> <li>3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SPECIFIED OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT.</li> <li>4. CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK AND BE RESPONSIBLE FOR SAME.</li> <li>5. CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK.</li> <li>6. ANY CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER.</li> <li>A DETAIL NO.</li> <li>B DRAWING NO WHERE DETAILED</li> <li>LEGEND</li> </ul>	THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS PETERBOROUGH BELLEVILLE NINGSTON NOTES: 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT CODES AND GUIDELINES. 2. ALL DRAWINGS AND ADDENDA ARE TO BE READ AS, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS.



PRE DEVELOPMENT STORMWATER	NORTH STAMP PROJECT UNITY INN & SPA 2885 BATTERSEA ROAD KINGSTON, ONTARIO	THE GREER GALLOWAY GROUP INC. CONSULTING ENGINEERS PETERBOROUGH BELLEVILLE NOTES: 1. ALL WORK SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH RELEVANT codes and guidelines. 2. ALL DRAWINGS AND ADDENDA ARE TO BE READ AS, AND IN CONJUNCTION WITH THE SPECIFICATIONS. 3. ALL EQUIPMENT SHALL BE INSTALLED AS SPECIFIED OR APPROVED EQUIVALENT. 4. CONTRACTOR MUST CHECK AND VERIFY ALL DIMENSIONS BEFORE PROCEEDING WITH WORK AND BE RESPONSIBLE S. CONTRACTOR MUST REPORT ANY DISCREPANCIES TO ENGINEER FOR RESOLUTION BEFORE COMMENCING THE WORK. 6. ANY CHANGES MUST BE APPROVED BY THE ENGINEER. B DRAWING NO WHERE DETAILED LEGEND

# Appendix B Calculations

### 18-5-6085 Unity Inn & Spa

Pre Develop	ment

Time of Concentr	ation	Tc: 0.0195L <sup>0.77</sup> S <sup>-0.385</sup>	(Kirpich)
		Tc: 1.44(0.20L) <sup>0.467</sup> S <sup>-0.235</sup>	(Kerby)
		Tc: 3.26*(1.1-C)*L <sup>0.5</sup> /S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.33</sup>	(Airport)
		Tc: 0.057*L/(S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.2</sup> xA <sup>0.1</sup> )	(Bransby Williams)

**AREA 101** 

Drainage Area: 7.00 ha

Kingston Site Pla	an control	Coefficient Rang	е				
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficie	า1	СхА	CN Value	CN x A	1
Pavement	0.06	0.90		0.05	98	6	1
Roofs	0.06	0.90		0.05	98	6	
Wooded	0.73	0.25		0.18	58	42	
Grass	6.15	0.25		1.54	65	400	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Total	7.00			1.83		454	
Co Composite \	mposite CN Value: Runoff Coefficient: Natershed Length: Elevation - Top: Elevation - Bottom: Watershed Stops:	65 0.26 280 137.14 133.17	Percer m	nt Impervious:	2%		
Tim	e of Concentration	To To To To To		8 <del>26</del> 41 <del>12</del>	(Kirpich, cł (Kerby, ove (Airport, c (Bransby V	nannel flow) erland flow) < 0.40) Villiams, c >	0.40)
Time Lag Time 0r	e of Concentration: Time to Peak (Tp):	41 27	minutes minutes				

# AREA 102

Drainage Area: 0.12

(MTO Design Ch	nart 1.07)	Coefficient Ran	ge				
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficie	ent	СхА	<b>CN</b> Value	CN x A	
Pavement	0.00	0.95		0.00	98	0	
Roofs	0.00	0.95		0.00	98	0	
Gravel	0.00	0.60		0.00		0	
Grass	0.31	0.25		0.08	65	20	(Soil Group B)
Wooded	6.48	0.25		1.62	58	376	
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Total	6.79			1.70		396	
Cor Composite	mposite CN Value: Runoff Coefficient:	58 0.25	Perce	nt Impervious:	0%		
V	Watershed Length: Elevation - Top: Elevation - Bottom:	350 137.96 136.51	m				
	Watershed Slope:	0.4	%				
Tim	e of Concentration	T T T T	с: с: с: с:	<del>15</del> <del>38</del> 69 <del>29</del>	(Kirpich, ch (Kerby, ove (Airport, c - (Bransby V	nannel flow) erland flow) < 0.40) Villiams, c > 1	0.40)
Time Lag Time 0r	e of Concentration: Time to Peak (Tp):	69 46	minutes minutes				

ha

18-5-6085 Unity Inn &	Spa						
Proposed Dev	elopment						
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	0.0195L <sup>0.77</sup> S <sup>-0.385</sup>	(Kirpich)		
			Tc:	1.44(0.20L) <sup>0.467</sup> S <sup>-0.235</sup>	(Kerby)		
			Tc:	3.26*(1.1-C)*L <sup>0.5</sup> /S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.33</sup>	(Airport)		
			Tc:	0.057*L/(S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.2</sup> xA <sup>0.1</sup> )	(Bransby V	Villiams)	
AREA 201							
	Drainage Area:	3 3 2		ha			
	Dialitage Area.	0.02		na			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)						-
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coeffic	cient	C x A	CN Value	CN x A	-
Pavement	0.44	0.90		0.40	98	43	
Vinevard	0.06	0.90		0.05	90 70	60	(Soil Group B)
Grass	1.96	0.25		0.49	65	127	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	3.32			1.16		236	J
Composito	mposite CN Value:	71		Dercent Imperieue:	150/		
Composite	Runon Coemcient.	0.35		Fercent impervious.	13%		
Overland Flow							
١	Natershed Length:	261		m			
	Elevation - Top:	137.3					
·	Watershed Slope	131.5		%			
		2.2					
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	6	(Kirpich, cl	hannel flow	/)
			Tc:	22	(Kerby, ov	erland flow	)
			Tc:	30	(Airport, c	< 0.40)	0.40)
			IC:	-11	(Bransby V	villiams, c	> 0.40)
Time	e of Concentration.	22		minutes			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	15		minutes			
AREA 202							
	Drainage Area:	1.12		ha			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)			<b>•</b> •			1
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runott Coettic	cient	0.28	CN Value	21 CN X A	4
Roofs	0.32	0.90		0.20	98	3	
Vineyard	0.51	0.25		0.13	70	36	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.27	0.25		0.07	65	18	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			-
lotal	1.12			0.50		87	J
Co	mposite CN Value:	77					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.45		Percent Impervious:	31%		
١	Natershed Length:	217		m			
,	Elevation - Ton	∠17 137					
1	Elevation - Bottom:	131.5					
	Watershed Slope:	2.5		%			
_			-	_	<i>10</i>		<b>、</b>
Tim	e of Concentration		TC:	5	(Kirpich, cl	nannel flow	/)
			TC:	∠∪ 23	(Airport o	< 0.40)	1
			Tc:	10	(Bransby V	Villiams, c	> 0.40)
							-
Time	e of Concentration:	20		minutes			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	13		minutes			
AREA 203							
	Drainage Area:	0.51		ha			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)						
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coeffic	cient	CxA	CN Value	CN x A	]
Pavement	0.39	0.90		0.35	98	38	
Roofs	0.02	0.90		0.02	98	2	
Gravel	0.00	0.60		0.00	65	0	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.09	0.25		0.02	65	ь	(Son Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00	1		
Total	0.51	0.00		0.40		47	1
							-
Compacito	mposite CN Value:	92		Doroopt Importation	0.00/		
Composite	RUNOT COefficient:	0.78		Percent Impervious:	02%		

Composite CN Value:	
Composite Runoff Coefficient:	

Watershed Length:

m

65

### 18-5-6085 Unity Inn & Spa Proposed Development Time of Concentration (Bransby Williams) Watershed Slope: 1.5 % (Kirpich, channel flow) (Kerby, overland flow) (Airport, c < 0.40) (Bransby Williams, c > 0.40) Tc: Tc: Tc: Time of Concentration <del>2</del> 13 7 Tc: 4 Time of Concentration: 7 5 minutes Lag Time or Time to Peak (Tp): minutes AREA 204 Drainage Area: 2.05 ha (MTO Design Chart 1.07) Land Use Area (Ha) Runoff Coefficient СхА CN Value CN x A

Pavement	0.20	0.90		0.18	98	19	
Roof	0.18	0.95		0.17	98	18	
Vineyard	0.78	0.25		0.19	70	55	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.89	0.25		0.22	65	58	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	2.05			0.77		149	
Co	mposite CN Value:	73					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.37	Pe	rcent Impervious	: 18%		
	Watershed Length:	160	m				
	Elevation - Top:	132.1					
	Elevation - Bottom:	127					
	Watershed Slope:	3.2	%				
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	4	(Kirnich ch	annel flov	v)
			Tc:	16	(Kerby over	erland flow	•) ()
			To:	20	(Airport o	~ 0.40)	')
			To:	20	(Rranchy V	Villiame e	> 0.40)
			10.	+	(Diansby V	villariis, c	> 0.40)
Tim	e of Concentration:	20	minu	es			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	13	minu	es			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

ha

### AREA 205

Drainage Area: 6.79

### (MTO Design Chart 1.07)

	,						
_and Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficient	(	CxA	CN Value	CN x A	
Pavement	0.90	0.90		0.81	98	88	
Roofs (cabins)	0.18	0.90		0.16	98	18	
Nooded	5.10	0.25		1.28	58	296	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.61	0.25		0.15	65	40	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	6.79			2.40		441	
Co	mposite CN Value:	65					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.35	Percer	nt Impervious:	16%		
l l	Natershed Length:	350	m				
	Elevation - Top:	137.96					
	Elevation - Bottom:	136.51					
	Watershed Slope:	0.4	%				
Tim	e of Concentration	Te		15	(Kirnich ch	annel flow	)
	e el concontration	Tc:		38	(Kerby ove	rland flow	)
		Tc:		61	(Airport c	< 0.40)	/
		Tc:		<del>20</del>	(Bransby V	/illiams, c :	> 0.40)
Time	e of Concentration:	61	minutes				
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	41	minutes				

### HEC-HMS PRE DEVLOPMENT STORMWATER

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

Pro	ject: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simul	ation Run: Pre-Dev 2 Yr	
Start of R End of Ru Compute	un: 01Jan2000, 00: n: 02Jan2000, 00: Time:30Jul2018, 14:	00 Basin Moo 00 Meteorolo 57:36 Control Sp	lel: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Type II - 2 Yr oecifications:Control 1	
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.0277	01Jan2000, 12:28	0.3409
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0026	01Jan2000, 14:28	0.0812
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.0277	01Jan2000, 12:28	0.4221

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

Project: 2285 Battersea - Wet Pond Simulation Run: Pre-Dev 5 Yr

Start of F End of Ru Compute	Run: 01Jan2000,00 un: 02Jan2000,00 Time:30Jul2018,14:	:00 Basin Moo :00 Meteorolo 55:50 Control S	del: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr pecifications:Control 1			
Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: 🕥 MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetici 💌						
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)		
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.1033	01Jan2000, 12:23	0.8409		
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0231	01Jan2000, 13:03	0.3686		
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.1128	01Jan2000, 12:26	1.2095		

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

P	roject: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simul	ation Run: Pre-Dev 10 Yr	
Start of I End of R Compute	Run: 01Jan2000,00:0 un: 02Jan2000,00:0 Time:01Aug2018,12:	00 Basin Mo 00 Meteorol 09:57 Control S	del: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Type II - 10 Yi pecifications:Control 1	r
Show Elements: All Elemen	ts 🚽 Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	I000 M3 Sorti	ng: Hydrologic 🔻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.07	0.1913	01Jan2000, 12:21	1.3783
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0492	01Jan2000, 12:56	0.6321
	0 1270	0.2152	011ap2000_12:23	2 0105

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Proje	ect: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simula	ation Run: Pre-Dev 25 Yr	
Start of Ru End of Run Compute Ti	n: 01Jan2000,00: : 02Jan2000,00:0 me:30Jul2018,14:5	00 Basin Mod 00 Meteorolo 1:55 Control Sp	el: Predevelopment gic Model: SCS Type II - 25 Yr pecifications:Control 1	
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 🗸
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.2665	01Jan2000, 12:20	1.7797
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0921	01Jan2000, 12:50	1.0179
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.3206	01Jan2000, 12:24	2.7976

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

Proj	ect: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simula	ation Run: Pre-Dev 50 Yr	
Start of Ru End of Run Compute T	n: 01Jan2000,00: : 02Jan2000,00: ime:30Jul2018,14:4	00 Basin Mod 00 Meteorolo 19:36 Control Sp	el: Predevelopment gic Model: SCS Type II - 50 Yr pecifications:Control 1	
Show Elements: All Elements	→ Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortin	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.3380	01Jan2000, 12:20	2.1749
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.1269	01Jan2000, 12:48	1.3113
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.4163	01Jan2000, 12:23	3.4863

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Proje	ct: 2285 Battersea -	Wet Pond Simula	tion Run: Pre-Dev 100 Yr	
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tir	1: 01Jan2000, 00:0 02Jan2000, 00:0 ne:30Jul2018, 14:46	00 Basin Mode 00 Meteorolog 5:28 Control Spe	el: Predevelopment jic Model: SCS Type II - 100 Yr ecifications:Control 1	r
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.4339	01Jan2000, 12:19	2.7010
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.1763	01Jan2000, 12:46	1.7134
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.5479	01Jan2000, 12:23	4.4144

### HEC-HMS POST DEVLOPMENT UNCONTROLLED STORMWATER

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

Proj	ect: Revised Stormwa	ater Simulation Ru	in: Post Uncontrolled 2 Yr			
Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 03Oct2018, 15:08:1	Basin Model: Meteorologic I 2 Control Specif	Post Dev without deter Model: SCS Type II - 2 Yr fications:Control 1	ntion		
Show Elements: All Elements	Show Elements: All Elements 🚽 Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetic 💌					
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume		
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)		
Basin 201	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4564		
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0369	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.1915		
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0459	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.2127		
Basin 204	0.0205	0.0665	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3451		
Basin 205	0.0679	0.0782	01Jan2000, 12:32	0.7874		
CB #1	0.0491	0.1457	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.8595		
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.2601	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.9920		
Swale 1	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.4555		
Swale 2	0.0120	0.0369	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.1913		

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

Proje	ect: Revised Stormwa	ater Simulation Ru	un: Post Uncontrolled 5 Yr	
Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 03Oct2018, 15:08:4	Basin Model: Meteorologic 4 Control Speci	Post Dev without deter Model: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr fications:Control 1	ntion
Show Elements: All Elements		ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.8019
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0698	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3306
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0659	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.3035
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1232	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.5863
Basin 205	0.0679	0.1470	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.3843
CB #1	0.0491	0.2681	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.4342
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.4685	01Jan2000, 12:09	3.4048
Swale 1	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.8004
Swale 2	0.0120	0.0698	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.3303

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

Proje	ct: Revised Stormwa	ter Simulation Ru	n: Post Uncontrolled 10 Yr			
Start of Run:     01Jan 2000, 00:00     Basin Model:     Post Dev without detention       End of Run:     02Jan 2000, 00:00     Meteorologic Model:     SCS Type II - 10 Yr       Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:09:11     Control Specifications:Control 1						
Show Elements: All Elements	Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetic 💌					
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)		
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.0489		
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0930	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.4286		
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0786	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.3614		
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1630	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.7556		
Basin 205	0.0679	0.2035	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.8270		
CB #1	0.0491	0.3561	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.8366		
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.6235	01Jan2000, 12:09	4.4192		
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.0470		
Swale 2	0.0120	0.0930	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.4282		

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater		ter Simulation Ru	n: Post Uncontrolled 25 Yr	
Start of Run:         01Jan2000, 00:00         Basin Model:         Post Dev without detention           End of Run:         02Jan2000, 00:00         Meteorologic Model:         SCS Type II - 25 Yr           Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:09:51         Control Specifications:Control 1         Sorting:         Alphabetic				
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.3690
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1227	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.5545
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0940	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.4317
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2141	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.9726
Basin 205	0.0679	0.2815	01Jan2000, 12:34	2.4134
CB #1	0.0491	0.4691	01Jan2000, 12:09	2.3524
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.8285	01Jan2000, 12:09	5.7384
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.3666
Swale 2	0.0120	0.1227	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.5541

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater		ter Simulation Ru	n: Post Uncontrolled 50 Yr	
Start of Run: ( End of Run: ( Compute Time:(	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 03Oct2018, 15:10:1	Basin Model: Meteorologic I 8 Control Specif	Post Dev without deter Model: SCS Type II - 50 Yr fications:Control 1	ntion
Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: O MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alpha				ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.5940
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1435	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.6425
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1043	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.4789
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2496	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.1240
Basin 205	0.0679	0.3387	01Jan2000, 12:33	2.8323
CB #1	0.0491	0.5480	01Jan2000, 12:09	2.7122
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.9745	01Jan2000, 12:09	6.6685
Swale 1	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.5913
Swale 2	0.0120	0.1435	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.6420

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Post Uncontrolled 100 Yr 
 Start of Run:
 01Jan2000, 00:00
 Basin Model:
 Post Dev without detention

 End of Run:
 02Jan2000, 00:00
 Meteorologic Model:
 SCS Type II - 100 Yr

 Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:10:46
 Control Specifications:Control 1

Show Elements: All Elements	vol 👻	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic -
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.8861
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1701	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.7562
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1171	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5381
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2952	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.3195
Basin 205	0.0679	0.4145	01Jan2000, 12:33	3.3825
CB #1	0.0491	0.6496	01Jan2000, 12:09	3.1767
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	1.1655	01Jan2000, 12:09	7.8788
Swale 1	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.8830
Swale 2	0.0120	0.1701	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.7556

### **HEC-HMS POST DEVLOPMENT STORMWATER**

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 2 Yr						
Start of Run:       01Jan2000, 00:00       Basin Model:       Post Development Controlled         End of Run:       02Jan2000, 00:00       Meteorologic Model:       SCS Type II - 2 Yr         Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:44:16       Control Specifications:Control 1						
Snow Elements: All Elements	VOI	ume onits: 🔘 MM	0 1000 MS 50	rting: Alphabetic 👻		
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume		
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)		
Basin 201	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4564		
Basin 202	0.0112	0.0564	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.2785		
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0531	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.2507		
Basin 204	0.0205	0.0665	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3451		
CB #1	0.0483	0.1707	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.9846		
Phase 2	0.0679	0.0782	01Jan2000, 12:32	0.7874		
Pond	0.0688	0.0207	01Jan2000, 14:15	0.6433		
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.0880	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.4307		
Swale 1	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.4555		
Swale 2	0.0112	0.0564	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.2783		

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

Computed Results

Peak Inflow: 0.2 Peak Discharge: 0.0 Inflow Volume: 1.3 Discharge Volume:0.6	368 (M3/S) Da 207 (M3/S) Da 296 (1000 M3) Pe 433 (1000 M3)	ate/Time of Peak Inflow: ate/Time of Peak Discharge: eak Storage:	01Jan2000, 12:07 01Jan2000, 14:15 0.8197 (1000 M3)
---	---	---	--

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

P Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:0	roject: Revised Stor 1Jan2000, 00:00 2Jan2000, 00:00 3Oct2018, 14:46:35	mwater Simulatio Basin Model: Meteorologic N Gontrol Specifi	n Run: Controlled 5 Yr Post Development Co Iodel: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr cations:Control 1	ontrolled
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sc     Sc	orting: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.8019
Basin 202	0.0112	0.0923	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.4363
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0735	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.3471
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1232	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.5863
CB #1	0.0483	0.2955	01Jan2000, 12:08	1.5834
Phase 2	0.0679	0.1470	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.3843
Pond	0.0688	0.0985	01Jan2000, 12:48	1.4144
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.2387	01Jan2000, 12:40	2.7987
Swale 1	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.8004
Swale 2	0.0112	0.0923	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4360

	Pro	oject: Revised Stormwa Ri	ater Simulation Run: eservoir: Pond	Controlled 5 Yr
Sta End Cor	rt of Run: 011 l of Run: 023 npute Time:030	Jan2000, 00:00 Jan2000, 00:00 Oct2018, 14:46:35 Volume Unit:	Basin Model: Meteorologic Model: Control Specifications s: MM () 1000 M3	Post Development Controlled SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr ::Control 1
Compute	ed Results			
P P II D	eak Inflow: eak Discharge: nflow Volume: Discharge Volum	0.4165 (M3/S) : 0.0985 (M3/S) 2.1697 (1000 M3) he:1.4144 (1000 M3)	Date/Time of Peak I Date/Time of Peak I Peak Storage:	Inflow: 01Jan2000, 12:07 Discharge:01Jan2000, 12:48 1.0901 (1000 M3)

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 10 yr						
Start of Run:       01Jan2000, 00:00       Basin Model:       Post Development Controlled         End of Run:       02Jan2000, 00:00       Meteorologic Model:       SCS Type II - 10 Yr         Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:52:07       Control Specifications:Control 1         Show Elements:       All Elements -       Volume Units:       MM       0 1000 M3       Sorting:       Alphabetic       -						
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume		
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)		
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.0489		
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1165	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.5425		
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0862	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.4075		
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1630	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.7556		
CB #1	0.0483	0.3842	01Jan2000, 12:08	1.9966		
Phase 2	0.0679	0.2035	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.8270		
Pond	0.0688	0.1721	01Jan2000, 12:38	1.9714		
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.3751	01Jan2000, 12:35	3.7983		
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.0470		
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1165	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.5421		

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 10 yr Reservoir: Pond Start of Run: 01Jan2000, 00:00 Basin Model: Post Development Controlled End of Run: 02Jan2000, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 10 Yr Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:52:07 Control Specifications:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow:	0.5439 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow:	01Jan2000, 12:07
Peak Discharge:	0.1721 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Discharge	e:01Jan2000, 12:38
Inflow Volume:	2.7522 (1000 M3)	Peak Storage:	1.2611 (1000 M3)
Discharge Volume	e: 1.9714 (1000 M3)		

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Pr	roject: Revised Storn	nwater Simulation	Run: Controlled 25 Yr	
Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:0 Show Elements: All Elements	1Jan2000, 00:00 2Jan2000, 00:00 3Oct2018, 14:53:57	Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi ume Units: (7) MM	Post Development Cor lodel: SCS Type II - 25 Yr cations:Control 1	ntrolled
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)	Time of Fear	(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.3690
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1466	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.6755
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1015	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.4803
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2141	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.9726
CB #1	0.0483	0.4973	01Jan2000, 12:08	2.5219
Phase 2	0.0679	0.2815	01Jan2000, 12:34	2.4134
Pond	0.0688	0.2295	01Jan2000, 12:36	2.6921
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.5109	01Jan2000, 12:34	5.1054
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.3666
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1466	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.6750

# Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 25 Yr Reservoir: Pond

Start of Run:	01Jan2000, 00:00
End of Run:	02Jan2000, 00:00
Compute Time	:03Oct2018, 14:53

00 Basin Model: Post Development Controlled 10 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 25 Yr 13:57 Control Specifications:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow: 0.7066 (M3/S) Peak Discharge: 0.2295 (M3/S) Inflow Volume: 3.4945 (1000 M3) Discharge Volume:2.6921 (1000 M3)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow: Date/Time of Peak Discharg Peak Storage:	01Jan2000, 12:07 e:01Jan2000, 12:36 1.5394 (1000 M3)
---	--	--

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

	Project: Revised Stor	mwater Simulation	n Run: Controlled 50 Yr	
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tim	: 01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 he:03Oct2018, 14:56:00	Basin Model: Meteorologic N 6 Control Specifi	Post Development Con Nodel: SCS Type II - 50 Yr cations:Control 1	trolled
Show Elements: All Element	nts 👻 Vo	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sort     Sort	ing: Alphabetic 🗸
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.5940
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1672	01Jan2000, 12:04	0.7667
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1117	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5288
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2496	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.1240
CB #1	0.0483	0.5759	01Jan2000, 12:08	2.8864
Phase 2	0.0679	0.3387	01Jan2000, 12:33	2.8323
Pond	0.0688	0.2616	01Jan2000, 12:36	3.1935
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.6001	01Jan2000, 12:34	6.0259
Swale 1	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.5913
	0.0112	0.1672	01lap2000_12.07	0.7662

	Project: Rev	vised Stormwater Rese	Simulation Run: C rvoir: Pond	ontrolled 50 Yr
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tin	: 01Jan2000 02Jan2000 ne:03Oct2018	, 00:00 B; , 00:00 M , 14:56:06 C Volume Units: (	asin Model: leteorologic Model: ontrol Specifications: MM	Post Development Controlled SCS Type II - 50 Yr Control 1
Computed Result	s			
Peak Infl Peak Dis Inflow Vo Discharg	ow: 0.81 charge: 0.26 olume: 58.2 e Volume:46.4	95 (M3/S) D 16 (M3/S) D 9058 (MM) P 1783 (MM)	Date/Time of Peak Inf Date/Time of Peak Dis Deak Storage:	low: 01Jan2000, 12:07 charge:01Jan2000, 12:36 1.7525 (1000 M3)

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 :03Oct2018, 14:58:04	Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi	Post Development Co Iodel: SCS Type II - 100 Yr cations:Control 1	ntrolled
Show Elements: All Elements	s 🚽 Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sol	rting: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.8861
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1934	01Jan2000, 12:04	0.8832
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1244	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5896
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2952	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.3195
CB #1	0.0483	0.6770	01Jan2000, 12:08	3.3552
Phase 2	0.0679	0.4145	01Jan2000, 12:33	3.3825
Pond	0.0688	0.2977	01Jan2000, 12:37	3.8399
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.7116	01Jan2000, 12:33	7.2225
Swale 1	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.8830
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1934	01Jan2000, 12:07	0.8826

### Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 100 Yr Reservoir: Pond

Start of Run:	01Jan2000,0	00:00	Basin Model:	Post Development Controlled
End of Run:	02Jan2000,0	00:00	Meteorologic Model:	SCS Type II - 100 Yr
Compute Time	:03Oct2018, 1	14:58:04	Control Specifications	:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow:	0.9647 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow:	01Jan2000, 12:07
Peak Discharge:	0.2977 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Discharg	e:01Jan2000, 12:37
Inflow Volume:	4.6747 (1000 M3)	Peak Storage:	2.0417 (1000 M3)
Discharge Volume	:3.8399 (1000 M3)		

Quality - MOE SWM Planning & Design Manual

Wet Pond Level 1 Enhanced Protection (80 Impervious: 23	)% S.S. %	Removal)	Development Area:	7.00	ha
Values at 35% Impervious (from Tabl Storage Volume: Extended Detention Portion: Permanent Pool Portion:	e 3.2) 140 40 100	m <sup>3</sup> /ha m <sup>3</sup> /ha m <sup>3</sup> /ha	Total Impervious: Percent Impervious:	1.64 23%	ha
Values at Actual Impervious (through	extrapo	lation)			
Extended Detention:	40	m³/ha			
Permanent Pool:	67	m³/ha			
Extended Detention:	280	m <sup>3</sup>			
Permanent Pool:	469	m <sup>3</sup>			
Quality - MOE SWM Planning & Desi	gn Mani	ual			
Wet Pond			Development Area:	7.00	ha
Level 2 Normal Protection (70 Impervious: 23	)% S.S. %	Removal)			
			Total Impervious:	1.64	ha
Values at 35% Impervious (from Table	e 3.2)		Percent Impervious:	23%	
Storage Volume:	90	m³/ha			
Extended Detention Portion:	40	m³/ha			
Permanent Pool Portion:	50	m³/ha			
Values at Actual Impervious (through	extrapo	lation)			
Extended Detention:	40	m <sup>3</sup> /ha			
Permanent Pool:	33	m³/ha			
Extended Detention:	280	m <sup>3</sup>			
Permanent Pool:	234	m <sup>3</sup>			

### Stage Storage/Stage Discharge (2285 Battersea Road)

ORIFICE CALCULATIONS			Q = C <sub>o</sub> *A*√2gh			
PIPE #1-QUALITY	ORIFICE			PIPE #2-100 YEAR ORIFICE		
Orifice Diameter=	0.0760	m		Orifice Diameter=	0.4021	m
Orifice Area=	0.0045	m2		Orifice Area=	0.1271	m2
Orifice Coeficient=	0.6			Orifice Coeficient=	0.6	
g=	9.8066	m/s <sup>2</sup>		g=	9.8066	m/s <sup>2</sup>

### V NOTCH WEIR CALCLATIONS $Q = Cd * (8/15)*(2g)^{0.5}*tan(Deg/2)*h^{2.5}$

# CORIFICE

Cd = 0.600 \* MTO Drainage Manual Chart 2.45

- g= 9.8066 m/s<sup>2</sup>
- h= Varies m Deg= 90 Degree V Notch

Head (m) Qualiitv Head (m) Quantity Total Discharge Discharge Discharge Volume Water Surface Quality Discharge Quantity Water Surface Surface Area Cumulative Type of Elevation (m) Volume (m3)\* Elevation (m) Outlet \*\* Rate (m3/s) Time (hr) Outlet \*\* Rate (m3/s) Rate (m3/s) Flow (m2) (m3) Pond Bottom 123.80 675.00 0.00 123.80 0.00 123.90 711.36 69.32 69.32 123.90 124.00 748.44 72.99 142.34 124.00 124.10 786.24 76.73 219.19 124.10 124.20 824.76 80.55 299.95 124.20 124.30 864.00 84.44 384.75 124.30 124.40 124.40 903.96 88.40 473.69 124.50 944.64 92.43 566.87 124.50 124.60 986.04 664.42 124.60 96.53 124.70 1028.16 100.71 766.42 124.70 124.75 1049.49 249.27 819.13 Perm Pool Surface 124.75 0.00 0.0000 0.0 0.000 124.80 1071.00 104.96 105 Perm. Storage Required (m3)= 124.80 0.26 0.0509 4.5 0.051 V Notch Flow 124.90 1114.56 109.28 124.90 0.0073 4.2 0.007 162 397 0.36 125.00 1158.84 113.67 276 125.00 0.46 0.0082 3.8 0.008 125.10 1203.84 118.13 394 125.10 0.56 0.0091 3.6 0.009 125.20 1249.56 122.67 517 Required First Flush (m3)= 125.20 0.0098 8.0 0.010 0.66 1296.00 0.0000 125.30 127.28 645 350 125.30 0.76 0.0105 11.3 0.00 0.011 V Notch Flow 125.40 1343.16 131.96 778 125.40 0.86 0.0112 14.6 0.10 0.0046 0.016 V Notch Flow 125.50 1391.04 136.71 915 125.50 0.96 0.0118 17.8 0.20 0.0259 0.038 V Notch Flow 125.60 1439.64 141.53 1058 125.60 1.06 0.0124 20.9 0.30 0.0715 0.084 V Notch Flow 125.70 1488.96 146.43 1206 125.70 1.16 0.0130 24.1 0.40 0.1467 0.160 V Notch Flow 125.80 1539.00 151.40 1359 125.80 1.26 0.0136 27.2 0.30 0.1847 0.198 156.44 125.90 1589.76 1518 125.90 1.36 0.0141 30.3 0.40 0.2133 0.227 126.00 1641.24 161.55 1682 126.00 1.46 0.0146 33.3 0.50 0.2386 0.253 126.10 1693.44 166.73 1851 126.10 1.56 0.0151 36.4 0.60 0.2614 0.276 171.99 126.20 1746.36 2027 126.20 1.66 0.0156 39.5 0.70 0.2824 0.298 126.30 177.32 2208 100 Year Storm Event 126.30 42.5 1800.00 1.76 0.0160 0.80 0.3019 0.318 126.40 1854.36 182.72 2396 126.40 1.86 0.0165 45.6 0.90 0.3202 0.337 126.50 1909.44 188.19 2589 126.50 1.96 0.0169 48.7 1.00 0.3376 0.355 126.60 1965.24 193.73 2789 Available Extended Detention 126.60 2.06 0.0173 51.8 1.10 0.3541 0.371

\* - does not account for lose due to the barrier

\*\* - Effective head for each orifice measured from the water surface to the mid point of the orifice except where flow conditions are calculated assuming V Notch flow

### 18-5-6085 Unity Inn & Spa

Pre Develop	ment

Time of Concentr	ation	Tc: 0.0195L <sup>0.77</sup> S <sup>-0.385</sup>	(Kirpich)
		Tc: 1.44(0.20L) <sup>0.467</sup> S <sup>-0.235</sup>	(Kerby)
		Tc: 3.26*(1.1-C)*L <sup>0.5</sup> /S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.33</sup>	(Airport)
		Tc: 0.057*L/(S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.2</sup> xA <sup>0.1</sup> )	(Bransby Williams)

**AREA 101** 

Drainage Area: 7.00 ha

Kingston Site Pla	an control	Coefficient Rang	е				
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficie	า1	СхА	CN Value	CN x A	1
Pavement	0.06	0.90		0.05	98	6	1
Roofs	0.06	0.90		0.05	98	6	
Wooded	0.73	0.25		0.18	58	42	
Grass	6.15	0.25		1.54	65	400	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Total	7.00			1.83		454	
Co Composite \	mposite CN Value: Runoff Coefficient: Natershed Length: Elevation - Top: Elevation - Bottom: Watershed Stops:	65 0.26 280 137.14 133.17	Percer m	nt Impervious:	2%		
Tim	e of Concentration	To To To To To		8 <del>26</del> 41 <del>12</del>	(Kirpich, cł (Kerby, ove (Airport, c (Bransby V	nannel flow) erland flow) < 0.40) Villiams, c >	0.40)
Time Lag Time 0r	e of Concentration: Time to Peak (Tp):	41 27	minutes minutes				

# AREA 102

Drainage Area: 0.12

(MTO Design Ch	nart 1.07)	Coefficient Ran	ge				
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficie	ent	СхА	<b>CN</b> Value	CN x A	
Pavement	0.00	0.95		0.00	98	0	
Roofs	0.00	0.95		0.00	98	0	
Gravel	0.00	0.60		0.00		0	
Grass	0.31	0.25		0.08	65	20	(Soil Group B)
Wooded	6.48	0.25		1.62	58	376	
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00		0	
Total	6.79			1.70		396	
Cor Composite	mposite CN Value: Runoff Coefficient:	58 0.25	Perce	nt Impervious:	0%		
V	Watershed Length: Elevation - Top: Elevation - Bottom:	350 137.96 136.51	m				
	Watershed Slope:	0.4	%				
Tim	e of Concentration	T T T T	с: с: с: с:	<del>15</del> <del>38</del> 69 <del>29</del>	(Kirpich, ch (Kerby, ove (Airport, c - (Bransby V	nannel flow) erland flow) < 0.40) Villiams, c > 1	0.40)
Time Lag Time 0r	e of Concentration: Time to Peak (Tp):	69 46	minutes minutes				

ha

### HEC-HMS PRE DEVLOPMENT STORMWATER

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

Pro	ject: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simul	ation Run: Pre-Dev 2 Yr	
Start of R End of Ru Compute	un: 01Jan2000, 00: n: 02Jan2000, 00: Time:30Jul2018, 14:	00 Basin Moo 00 Meteorolo 57:36 Control Sp	lel: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Type II - 2 Yr oecifications:Control 1	
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.0277	01Jan2000, 12:28	0.3409
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0026	01Jan2000, 14:28	0.0812
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.0277	01Jan2000, 12:28	0.4221

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

Project: 2285 Battersea - Wet Pond Simulation Run: Pre-Dev 5 Yr

Start of F End of Ru Compute	Run: 01Jan2000,00 un: 02Jan2000,00 Time:30Jul2018,14:	:00 Basin Moo :00 Meteorolo 55:50 Control S	del: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr pecifications:Control 1				
Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetic 🗸							
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)			
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.1033	01Jan2000, 12:23	0.8409			
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0231	01Jan2000, 13:03	0.3686			
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.1128	01Jan2000, 12:26	1.2095			

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

P	roject: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simul	ation Run: Pre-Dev 10 Yr	
Start of I End of R Compute	Run: 01Jan2000,00:0 un: 02Jan2000,00:0 Time:01Aug2018,12:	00 Basin Mo 00 Meteorol 09:57 Control S	del: Predevelopment ogic Model: SCS Type II - 10 Yi pecifications:Control 1	r
Show Elements: All Elemen	ts 🚽 Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	I000 M3 Sorti	ng: Hydrologic 🔻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Catchment 101	0.07	0.1913	01Jan2000, 12:21	1.3783
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0492	01Jan2000, 12:56	0.6321
	0 1270	0.2152	011ap2000_12:23	2 0105

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Project: 2285 Battersea - Wet Pond Simulation Run: Pre-Dev 25 Yr						
Start of Run: 01Jan2000, 00:00 Basin Model: Predevelopment End of Run: 02Jan2000, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 25 Yr Compute Time:30Jul2018, 14:51:55 Control Specifications:Control 1						
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 🗸		
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume		
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)		
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.2665	01Jan2000, 12:20	1.7797		
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.0921	01Jan2000, 12:50	1.0179		
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.3206	01Jan2000, 12:24	2.7976		

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

Proj	ect: 2285 Battersea	- Wet Pond Simula	ation Run: Pre-Dev 50 Yr			
Start of Run: 01Jan2000, 00:00 Basin Model: Predevelopment End of Run: 02Jan2000, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 50 Yr Compute Time:30Jul2018, 14:49:36 Control Specifications:Control 1						
Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: O MM (a) 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetic 🗸						
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)		
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.3380	01Jan2000, 12:20	2.1749		
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.1269	01Jan2000, 12:48	1.3113		
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.4163	01Jan2000, 12:23	3.4863		

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Project: 2285 Battersea - Wet Pond Simulation Run: Pre- Dev 100 Yr						
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tir	1: 01Jan2000, 00:0 02Jan2000, 00:0 ne:30Jul2018, 14:46	00 Basin Mode 00 Meteorolog 5:28 Control Spe	el: Predevelopment jic Model: SCS Type II - 100 Yr ecifications:Control 1	r		
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sortir	ng: Alphabetic 👻		
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)		
Catchment 101	0.0700	0.4339	01Jan2000, 12:19	2.7010		
Catchment 102	0.0679	0.1763	01Jan2000, 12:46	1.7134		
Roadside Ditch	0.1379	0.5479	01Jan2000, 12:23	4.4144		

18-5-6085 Unity Inn &	Spa						
Proposed Dev	elopment						
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	0.0195L <sup>0.77</sup> S <sup>-0.385</sup>	(Kirpich)		
			Tc:	1.44(0.20L) <sup>0.467</sup> S <sup>-0.235</sup>	(Kerby)		
			Tc:	3.26*(1.1-C)*L <sup>0.5</sup> /S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.33</sup>	(Airport)		
			Tc:	0.057*L/(S <sub>w</sub> <sup>0.2</sup> xA <sup>0.1</sup> )	(Bransby V	Villiams)	
AREA 201							
	Drainage Area:	3 3 2		ha			
	Dialitage Area.	0.02		na			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)						-
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coeffic	cient	C x A	CN Value	CN x A	-
Pavement	0.44	0.90		0.40	98	43	
Vinevard	0.06	0.90		0.05	90 70	60	(Soil Group B)
Grass	1.96	0.25		0.49	65	127	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			,
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	3.32			1.16		236	J
Composito	mposite CN Value:	71		Dercent Imperieue:	150/		
Composite	Runon Coemcient.	0.35		Fercent impervious.	13%		
Overland Flow							
١	Natershed Length:	261		m			
	Elevation - Top:	137.3					
·	Watershed Slope	131.5		%			
		2.2					
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	6	(Kirpich, cl	hannel flow	/)
			Tc:	22	(Kerby, ov	erland flow	)
			Tc:	30	(Airport, c	< 0.40)	0.40)
			IC:	-11	(Bransby V	villiams, c	> 0.40)
Time	e of Concentration.	22		minutes			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	15		minutes			
AREA 202							
	Drainage Area:	1.12		ha			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)			<b>•</b> •			1
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runott Coettic	cient	0.28	CN Value	21 CN X A	4
Roofs	0.32	0.90		0.20	98	3	
Vineyard	0.51	0.25		0.13	70	36	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.27	0.25		0.07	65	18	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			-
lotal	1.12			0.50		87	J
Co	mposite CN Value:	77					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.45		Percent Impervious:	31%		
١	Natershed Length:	217		m			
,	Elevation - Ton	∠17 137					
1	Elevation - Bottom:	131.5					
	Watershed Slope:	2.5		%			
_			-	_	<i>10</i>		<b>、</b>
Tim	e of Concentration		TC:	5	(Kirpich, cl	nannel flow	/)
			TC:	∠∪ 23	(Airport o	< 0.40)	1
			Tc:	10	(Bransby V	Villiams, c	> 0.40)
							-
Time	e of Concentration:	20		minutes			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	13		minutes			
AREA 203							
	Drainage Area:	0.51		ha			
(MTO Design Chart 1.	.07)						
Land Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coeffic	cient	CxA	CN Value	CN x A	]
Pavement	0.39	0.90		0.35	98	38	
Roofs	0.02	0.90		0.02	98	2	
Gravel	0.00	0.60		0.00	e e	0	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.09	0.25		0.02	65	ь	(Son Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00	1		
Total	0.51	0.00		0.40		47	1
							-
Compacito	mposite CN Value:	92		Doroopt Importation	0.00/		
Composite	RUNOT COefficient:	0.78		Percent Impervious:	02%		

Composite CN Value:	
Composite Runoff Coefficient:	

Watershed Length:

m

65

### 18-5-6085 Unity Inn & Spa Proposed Development Time of Concentration (Bransby Williams) Watershed Slope: 1.5 % (Kirpich, channel flow) (Kerby, overland flow) (Airport, c < 0.40) (Bransby Williams, c > 0.40) Tc: Tc: Tc: Time of Concentration <del>2</del> 13 7 Tc: 4 Time of Concentration: 7 5 minutes Lag Time or Time to Peak (Tp): minutes AREA 204 Drainage Area: 2.05 ha (MTO Design Chart 1.07) Land Use Area (Ha) Runoff Coefficient СхА CN Value CN x A

Pavement	0.20	0.90		0.18	98	19	
Roof	0.18	0.95		0.17	98	18	
Vineyard	0.78	0.25		0.19	70	55	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.89	0.25		0.22	65	58	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	2.05			0.77		149	
Co	mposite CN Value:	73					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.37	Pe	rcent Impervious	: 18%		
	Watershed Length:	160	m				
	Elevation - Top:	132.1					
	Elevation - Bottom:	127					
	Watershed Slope:	3.2	%				
Tim	e of Concentration		Tc:	4	(Kirnich ch	annel flov	v)
			Tc:	16	(Kerby over	erland flow	•) ()
			To:	20	(Airport o	~ 0.40)	')
			To:	20	(Rranchy V	Villiame e	> 0.40)
			10.	+	(Diansby V	villariis, c	> 0.40)
Tim	e of Concentration:	20	minu	es			
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	13	minu	es			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						

ha

### AREA 205

Drainage Area: 6.79

### (MTO Design Chart 1.07)

	,						
_and Use	Area (Ha)	Runoff Coefficient	(	CxA	CN Value	CN x A	
Pavement	0.90	0.90		0.81	98	88	
Roofs (cabins)	0.18	0.90		0.16	98	18	
Nooded	5.10	0.25		1.28	58	296	(Soil Group B)
Grass	0.61	0.25		0.15	65	40	(Soil Group B)
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Blank	0.00	0.00		0.00			
Total	6.79			2.40		441	
Co	mposite CN Value:	65					
Composite	Runoff Coefficient:	0.35	Percer	nt Impervious:	16%		
l l	Natershed Length:	350	m				
	Elevation - Top:	137.96					
	Elevation - Bottom:	136.51					
	Watershed Slope:	0.4	%				
Tim	e of Concentration	Te		15	(Kirnich ch	annel flow	)
	e el concontration	Tc:		38	(Kerby ove	rland flow	)
		Tc:		61	(Airport c	< 0.40)	/
		Tc:		<del>20</del>	(Bransby V	/illiams, c :	> 0.40)
Time	e of Concentration:	61	minutes				
Lag Time or	Time to Peak (Tp):	41	minutes				

### **HEC-HMS POST DEVLOPMENT STORMWATER**

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

P	Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 2 Yr				
Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:0	1Jan2000, 00:00 2Jan2000, 00:00 3Oct2018, 14:44:16	Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi	Post Development Co lodel: SCS Type II - 2 Yr cations:Control 1	ntrolled	
Snow Elements: All Elements	VOI	ume onits: 🔘 MM	0 1000 MS 50	rting: Alphabetic 👻	
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume	
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)	
Basin 201	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4564	
Basin 202	0.0112	0.0564	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.2785	
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0531	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.2507	
Basin 204	0.0205	0.0665	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3451	
CB #1	0.0483	0.1707	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.9846	
Phase 2	0.0679	0.0782	01Jan2000, 12:32	0.7874	
Pond	0.0688	0.0207	01Jan2000, 14:15	0.6433	
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.0880	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.4307	
Swale 1	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.4555	
Swale 2	0.0112	0.0564	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.2783	

![](_page_38_Figure_3.jpeg)

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

Computed Results

Peak Inflow: 0.2 Peak Discharge: 0.0 Inflow Volume: 1.3 Discharge Volume:0.6	368 (M3/S) Da 207 (M3/S) Da 296 (1000 M3) Pe 433 (1000 M3)	ate/Time of Peak Inflow: ate/Time of Peak Discharge: eak Storage:	01Jan2000, 12:07 01Jan2000, 14:15 0.8197 (1000 M3)
---	---	---	--

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

P Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:0	roject: Revised Stor 1Jan2000, 00:00 2Jan2000, 00:00 3Oct2018, 14:46:35	mwater Simulatio Basin Model: Meteorologic N Gontrol Specifi	n Run: Controlled 5 Yr Post Development Co Iodel: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr cations:Control 1	ontrolled
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sc     Sc	orting: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.8019
Basin 202	0.0112	0.0923	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.4363
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0735	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.3471
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1232	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.5863
CB #1	0.0483	0.2955	01Jan2000, 12:08	1.5834
Phase 2	0.0679	0.1470	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.3843
Pond	0.0688	0.0985	01Jan2000, 12:48	1.4144
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.2387	01Jan2000, 12:40	2.7987
Swale 1	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.8004
Swale 2	0.0112	0.0923	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4360

	Pro	oject: Revised Stormwa Ri	ater Simulation Run: eservoir: Pond	Controlled 5 Yr
Sta End Cor	rt of Run: 011 l of Run: 023 npute Time:030	Jan2000, 00:00 Jan2000, 00:00 Oct2018, 14:46:35 Volume Unit:	Basin Model: Meteorologic Model: Control Specifications s: MM () 1000 M3	Post Development Controlled SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr ::Control 1
Compute	ed Results			
P P II D	eak Inflow: eak Discharge: nflow Volume: Discharge Volum	0.4165 (M3/S) : 0.0985 (M3/S) 2.1697 (1000 M3) he:1.4144 (1000 M3)	Date/Time of Peak I Date/Time of Peak I Peak Storage:	Inflow: 01Jan2000, 12:07 Discharge:01Jan2000, 12:48 1.0901 (1000 M3)

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 10 yr							
Start of Run:       01Jan2000, 00:00       Basin Model:       Post Development Controlled         End of Run:       02Jan2000, 00:00       Meteorologic Model:       SCS Type II - 10 Yr         Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:52:07       Control Specifications:Control 1         Show Elements:       All Elements -       Volume Units:       M () 1000 M3       Sorting:       Alphabetic -							
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume			
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)			
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.0489			
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1165	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.5425			
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0862	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.4075			
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1630	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.7556			
CB #1	0.0483	0.3842	01Jan2000, 12:08	1.9966			
Phase 2	0.0679	0.2035	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.8270			
Pond	0.0688	0.1721	01Jan2000, 12:38	1.9714			
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.3751	01Jan2000, 12:35	3.7983			
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.0470			
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1165	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.5421			

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 10 yr Reservoir: Pond Start of Run: 01Jan2000, 00:00 Basin Model: Post Development Controlled End of Run: 02Jan2000, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 10 Yr Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:52:07 Control Specifications:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow:	0.5439 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow:	01Jan2000, 12:07
Peak Discharge:	0.1721 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Discharge	e:01Jan2000, 12:38
Inflow Volume:	2.7522 (1000 M3)	Peak Storage:	1.2611 (1000 M3)
Discharge Volume	e: 1.9714 (1000 M3)		

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 25 Yr					
Start of Run: 0 End of Run: 0 Compute Time:0 Show Elements: All Elements	1Jan2000, 00:00 2Jan2000, 00:00 3Oct2018, 14:53:57	Basin Model: Meteorologic M Control Specifi ume Units: (7) MM	Post Development Cor lodel: SCS Type II - 25 Yr cations:Control 1	ntrolled	
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume	
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)	Time of Fear	(1000 M3)	
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.3690	
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1466	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.6755	
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1015	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.4803	
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2141	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.9726	
CB #1	0.0483	0.4973	01Jan2000, 12:08	2.5219	
Phase 2	0.0679	0.2815	01Jan2000, 12:34	2.4134	
Pond	0.0688	0.2295	01Jan2000, 12:36	2.6921	
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.5109	01Jan2000, 12:34	5.1054	
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.3666	
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1466	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.6750	

# Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 25 Yr Reservoir: Pond

Start of Run:	01Jan2000, 00:00
End of Run:	02Jan2000, 00:00
Compute Time	:03Oct2018, 14:53

00 Basin Model: Post Development Controlled 10 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 25 Yr 13:57 Control Specifications:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow: 0.7066 (M3/S) Peak Discharge: 0.2295 (M3/S) Inflow Volume: 3.4945 (1000 M3) Discharge Volume:2.6921 (1000 M3)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow: Date/Time of Peak Discharg Peak Storage:	01Jan2000, 12:07 e:01Jan2000, 12:36 1.5394 (1000 M3)
---	--	--

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

	Project: Revised Stor	mwater Simulation	n Run: Controlled 50 Yr	
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tim	: 01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 he:03Oct2018, 14:56:00	Basin Model: Meteorologic N 6 Control Specifi	Post Development Con Nodel: SCS Type II - 50 Yr cations:Control 1	trolled
Show Elements: All Element	nts 👻 Vo	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sort     Sort	ing: Alphabetic 🗸
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.5940
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1672	01Jan2000, 12:04	0.7667
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1117	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5288
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2496	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.1240
CB #1	0.0483	0.5759	01Jan2000, 12:08	2.8864
Phase 2	0.0679	0.3387	01Jan2000, 12:33	2.8323
Pond	0.0688	0.2616	01Jan2000, 12:36	3.1935
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.6001	01Jan2000, 12:34	6.0259
Swale 1	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.5913
	0.0112	0.1672	01lap2000_12.07	0.7662

	Project: Rev	vised Stormwater Rese	Simulation Run: C rvoir: Pond	ontrolled 50 Yr
Start of Run End of Run: Compute Tin	: 01Jan2000 02Jan2000 ne:03Oct2018	, 00:00 B; , 00:00 M , 14:56:06 C Volume Units: (	asin Model: leteorologic Model: ontrol Specifications: MM	Post Development Controlled SCS Type II - 50 Yr Control 1
Computed Result	s			
Peak Infl Peak Dis Inflow Vo Discharg	ow: 0.81 charge: 0.26 olume: 58.2 e Volume:46.4	95 (M3/S) D 16 (M3/S) D 9058 (MM) P 1783 (MM)	Date/Time of Peak Inf Date/Time of Peak Dis Deak Storage:	low: 01Jan2000, 12:07 charge:01Jan2000, 12:36 1.7525 (1000 M3)

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Start of Run: 01Jan2000, 00:00 Basin Model: Post Development Controlled End of Run: 02Jan2000, 00:00 Meteorologic Model: SCS Type II - 100 Yr Compute Time:03Oct2018, 14:58:04 Control Specifications:Control 1						
Show Elements: All Elements	s 🚽 Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sol	rting: Alphabetic 👻		
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)		
Basin 201	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.8861		
Basin 202	0.0112	0.1934	01Jan2000, 12:04	0.8832		
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1244	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5896		
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2952	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.3195		
CB #1	0.0483	0.6770	01Jan2000, 12:08	3.3552		
Phase 2	0.0679	0.4145	01Jan2000, 12:33	3.3825		
Pond	0.0688	0.2977	01Jan2000, 12:37	3.8399		
Roadside Ditch	0.1367	0.7116	01Jan2000, 12:33	7.2225		
Swale 1	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.8830		
Swale 2	0.0112	0.1934	01Jan2000, 12:07	0.8826		

### Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Controlled 100 Yr Reservoir: Pond

Start of Run:	01Jan2000,0	00:00	Basin Model:	Post Development Controlled
End of Run:	02Jan2000,0	00:00	Meteorologic Model:	SCS Type II - 100 Yr
Compute Time	:03Oct2018, 1	14:58:04	Control Specifications	:Control 1

Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3

### Computed Results

Peak Inflow:	0.9647 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Inflow:	01Jan2000, 12:07
Peak Discharge:	0.2977 (M3/S)	Date/Time of Peak Discharg	e:01Jan2000, 12:37
Inflow Volume:	4.6747 (1000 M3)	Peak Storage:	2.0417 (1000 M3)
Discharge Volume	:3.8399 (1000 M3)		

### HEC-HMS POST DEVLOPMENT UNCONTROLLED STORMWATER

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 2 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater		ater Simulation Ru	in: Post Uncontrolled 2 Yr	
Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 03Oct2018, 15:08:1	Basin Model: Meteorologic I 2 Control Specif	Post Dev without deter Model: SCS Type II - 2 Yr fications:Control 1	ntion
Show Elements: All Elements	- Vol	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.4564
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0369	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.1915
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0459	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.2127
Basin 204	0.0205	0.0665	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3451
Basin 205	0.0679	0.0782	01Jan2000, 12:32	0.7874
CB #1	0.0491	0.1457	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.8595
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.2601	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.9920
Swale 1	0.0320	0.0799	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.4555
Swale 2	0.0120	0.0369	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.1913

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 5 Year Storm

Proje	ect: Revised Stormwa	ater Simulation Ru	un: Post Uncontrolled 5 Yr	
Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	01Jan2000, 00:00 02Jan2000, 00:00 03Oct2018, 15:08:4	Basin Model: Meteorologic 4 Control Speci	Post Dev without deter Model: SCS Ttpe II - 5 Yr fications:Control 1	ntion
Show Elements: All Elements		ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.8019
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0698	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.3306
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0659	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.3035
Basin 204	0.0205	0.1232	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.5863
Basin 205	0.0679	0.1470	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.3843
CB #1	0.0491	0.2681	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.4342
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.4685	01Jan2000, 12:09	3.4048
Swale 1	0.0320	0.1573	01Jan2000, 12:14	0.8004
Swale 2	2 0.0120		01Jan2000, 12:09	0.3303

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 10 Year Storm

Proje	ct: Revised Stormwa	ter Simulation Ru	Simulation Run: Post Uncontrolled 10 Yr					
Start of Run:     01Jan2000, 00:00     Basin Model:     Post Dev without detention       End of Run:     02Jan2000, 00:00     Meteorologic Model:     SCS Type II - 10 Yr       Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:09:11     Control Specifications:Control 1								
Show Elements: All Elements	Show Elements: All Elements 👻 Volume Units: 🔘 MM 💿 1000 M3 Sorting: Alphabetic 💌							
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)				
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2138	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.0489				
Basin 202	0.0120	0.0930	01Jan2000, 12:06	0.4286				
Basin 203	0.0051	0.0786	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.3614				
Basin 204	4 0.0205		530 01Jan2000, 12:05					
Basin 205	0.0679	0.2035	01Jan2000, 12:34	1.8270				
CB #1	0.0491	0.3561	01Jan2000, 12:09	1.8366				
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.6235	01Jan2000, 12:09	4.4192				
Swale 1	1 0.0320		01Jan2000, 12:13	1.0470				
Swale 2	0.0120	0.0930	01Jan2000, 12:09	0.4282				

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 25 Year Storm

Proje	ct: Revised Stormwa	ter Simulation Ru	Simulation Run: Post Uncontrolled 25 Yr					
Start of Run:     01Jan 2000, 00:00     Basin Model:     Post Dev without detention       End of Run:     02Jan 2000, 00:00     Meteorologic Model:     SCS Type II - 25 Yr       Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:09:51     Control Specifications:Control 1       Show Elements:     All Elements     Volume Units:     MM     1000 M3     Sorting:     Alphabetici								
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume				
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)				
Basin 201	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.3690				
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1227	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.5545				
Basin 203	0.0051		01Jan2000, 12:02	0.4317				
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2141	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.9726				
Basin 205	0.0679	0.2815	01Jan2000, 12:34	2.4134				
CB #1	0.0491	0.4691	01Jan2000, 12:09	2.3524				
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.8285	01Jan2000, 12:09	5.7384				
Swale 1	0.0320	0.2869	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.3666				
Swale 2	2 0.0120		01Jan2000, 12:08	0.5541				

### SCS Type II 24 Hour – 50 Year Storm

Proje	ct: Revised Stormwa	ter Simulation Ru	n: Post Uncontrolled 50 Yr	
Start of Run: End of Run: Compute Time:	ntion			
Show Elements: All Elements		ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic 👻
Hydrologic	Drainage Area	Peak Discharge	Time of Peak	Volume
Element	(KM2)	(M3/S)		(1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.5940
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1435	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.6425
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1043	01Jan2000, 12:02	0.4789
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2496	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.1240
Basin 205	0.0679	0.3387	01Jan2000, 12:33	2.8323
CB #1	0.0491	0.5480	01Jan2000, 12:09	2.7122
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	0.9745	01Jan2000, 12:09	6.6685
Swale 1	0.0320	0.3381	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.5913
Swale 2	0.0120	0.1435	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.6420

# SCS Type II 24 Hour – 100 Year Storm

Project: Revised Stormwater Simulation Run: Post Uncontrolled 100 Yr 
 Start of Run:
 01Jan2000, 00:00
 Basin Model:
 Post Dev without detention

 End of Run:
 02Jan2000, 00:00
 Meteorologic Model:
 SCS Type II - 100 Yr

 Compute Time:03Oct2018, 15:10:46
 Control Specifications:Control 1

Show Elements: All Elements	vol 👻	ume Units: 🔘 MM	1000 M3 Sorti	ng: Alphabetic 🖣
Hydrologic Element	Drainage Area (KM2)	Peak Discharge (M3/S)	Time of Peak	Volume (1000 M3)
Basin 201	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:07	1.8861
Basin 202	0.0120	0.1701	01Jan2000, 12:05	0.7562
Basin 203	0.0051	0.1171	01Jan2000, 12:01	0.5381
Basin 204	0.0205	0.2952	01Jan2000, 12:05	1.3195
Basin 205	0.0679	0.4145	01Jan2000, 12:33	3.3825
CB #1	0.0491	0.6496	01Jan2000, 12:09	3.1767
Roadside Ditch	0.1375	1.1655	01Jan2000, 12:09	7.8788
Swale 1	0.0320	0.4043	01Jan2000, 12:13	1.8830
Swale 2	0.0120	0.1701	01Jan2000, 12:08	0.7556

Quality - MOE SWM Planning & Design Manual

Wet Pond Level 1 Enhanced Protection (80 Impervious: 23	)% S.S. %	Removal)	Development Area:	7.00	ha
Values at 35% Impervious (from Tabl Storage Volume: Extended Detention Portion: Permanent Pool Portion:	e 3.2) 140 40 100	m <sup>3</sup> /ha m <sup>3</sup> /ha m <sup>3</sup> /ha	Total Impervious: Percent Impervious:	1.64 23%	ha
Values at Actual Impervious (through	extrapo	lation)			
Extended Detention:	40	m³/ha			
Permanent Pool:	67	m³/ha			
Extended Detention:	280	m <sup>3</sup>			
Permanent Pool:	469	m <sup>3</sup>			
Quality - MOE SWM Planning & Desi	gn Mani	ual			
Wet Pond			Development Area:	7.00	ha
Level 2 Normal Protection (70 Impervious: 23	)% S.S. %	Removal)			
			Total Impervious:	1.64	ha
Values at 35% Impervious (from Tabl	e 3.2)		Percent Impervious:	23%	
Storage Volume:	90	m³/ha			
Extended Detention Portion:	40	m³/ha			
Permanent Pool Portion:	50	m³/ha			
Values at Actual Impervious (through	lation)				
Extended Detention:	40	m <sup>3</sup> /ha			
Permanent Pool:	33	m³/ha			
Extended Detention:	280	m <sup>3</sup>			
Permanent Pool:	234	m <sup>3</sup>			

### Stage Storage/Stage Discharge (2285 Battersea Road)

ORIFICE CALCULATIONS			Q = C <sub>o</sub> *A*√2gh			
PIPE #1-QUALITY	ORIFICE			PIPE #2-100 YEAR ORIFICE		
Orifice Diameter=	0.0760	m		Orifice Diameter=	0.4021	m
Orifice Area=	0.0045	m2		Orifice Area=	0.1271	m2
Orifice Coeficient=	0.6			Orifice Coeficient=	0.6	
g=	9.8066	m/s <sup>2</sup>		g=	9.8066	m/s <sup>2</sup>

### V NOTCH WEIR CALCLATIONS $Q = Cd * (8/15)*(2g)^{0.5}*tan(Deg/2)*h^{2.5}$

# CORIFICE

Cd = 0.600 \* MTO Drainage Manual Chart 2.45

- g= 9.8066 m/s<sup>2</sup>
- h= Varies m Deg= 90 Degree V Notch

Head (m) Qualiitv Head (m) Quantity Total Discharge Discharge Discharge Volume Water Surface Quality Discharge Quantity Water Surface Surface Area Cumulative Type of Elevation (m) Volume (m3)\* Elevation (m) Outlet \*\* Rate (m3/s) Time (hr) Outlet \*\* Rate (m3/s) Rate (m3/s) Flow (m2) (m3) Pond Bottom 123.80 675.00 0.00 123.80 0.00 123.90 711.36 69.32 69.32 123.90 124.00 748.44 72.99 142.34 124.00 124.10 786.24 76.73 219.19 124.10 124.20 824.76 80.55 299.95 124.20 124.30 864.00 84.44 384.75 124.30 124.40 124.40 903.96 88.40 473.69 124.50 944.64 92.43 566.87 124.50 124.60 986.04 664.42 124.60 96.53 124.70 1028.16 100.71 766.42 124.70 124.75 1049.49 249.27 819.13 Perm Pool Surface 124.75 0.00 0.0000 0.0 0.000 124.80 1071.00 104.96 105 Perm. Storage Required (m3)= 124.80 0.26 0.0509 4.5 0.051 V Notch Flow 124.90 1114.56 109.28 124.90 0.0073 4.2 0.007 162 397 0.36 125.00 1158.84 113.67 276 125.00 0.46 0.0082 3.8 0.008 125.10 1203.84 118.13 394 125.10 0.56 0.0091 3.6 0.009 125.20 1249.56 122.67 517 Required First Flush (m3)= 125.20 0.0098 8.0 0.010 0.66 1296.00 0.0000 125.30 127.28 645 350 125.30 0.76 0.0105 11.3 0.00 0.011 V Notch Flow 125.40 1343.16 131.96 778 125.40 0.86 0.0112 14.6 0.10 0.0046 0.016 V Notch Flow 125.50 1391.04 136.71 915 125.50 0.96 0.0118 17.8 0.20 0.0259 0.038 V Notch Flow 125.60 1439.64 141.53 1058 125.60 1.06 0.0124 20.9 0.30 0.0715 0.084 V Notch Flow 125.70 1488.96 146.43 1206 125.70 1.16 0.0130 24.1 0.40 0.1467 0.160 V Notch Flow 125.80 1539.00 151.40 1359 125.80 1.26 0.0136 27.2 0.30 0.1847 0.198 156.44 125.90 1589.76 1518 125.90 1.36 0.0141 30.3 0.40 0.2133 0.227 126.00 1641.24 161.55 1682 126.00 1.46 0.0146 33.3 0.50 0.2386 0.253 126.10 1693.44 166.73 1851 126.10 1.56 0.0151 36.4 0.60 0.2614 0.276 171.99 126.20 1746.36 2027 126.20 1.66 0.0156 39.5 0.70 0.2824 0.298 126.30 177.32 2208 100 Year Storm Event 126.30 42.5 1800.00 1.76 0.0160 0.80 0.3019 0.318 126.40 1854.36 182.72 2396 126.40 1.86 0.0165 45.6 0.90 0.3202 0.337 126.50 1909.44 188.19 2589 126.50 1.96 0.0169 48.7 1.00 0.3376 0.355 126.60 1965.24 193.73 2789 Available Extended Detention 126.60 2.06 0.0173 51.8 1.10 0.3541 0.371

\* - does not account for lose due to the barrier

\*\* - Effective head for each orifice measured from the water surface to the mid point of the orifice except where flow conditions are calculated assuming V Notch flow

# Appendix C Geotechnical Information

				Test Pit Log		
		Proiect No.:	ASC-438			
		Proiect:	Test Pit Investigation			
		Client:	BPE Development			
		Date:	May 3rd. 2018			
ENVIRON	MENIALJ	Location:	2285 Battersea Rd., K	ingston, ON		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TPID	Depth(m)	Moisture	Colour	Soil Type	Sample	Rock
	Bopan(m)	molocaro	Colour		Number	Depth (m)
	0.0 - 0.2	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP1	0.2 - 0.55	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	SA-1	
	0.55-1.6	Dry	Light Brown	Clayey Sand Some Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-2	1.60
		5	End of Test Pit at targe	et depth of 1.6 metres on inferred Limestone Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP2	0.25 - 0.45	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
	0.45 - 0.9	Dry	Light Brown	Gravely Sand Some Limeston and Granite Cobbles	-	0.90
		5	End of Test P	it at target depth of 0.9m on inferred Bedrock	<u> </u>	0.05
TP3	0.0 - 0.65	Dry	Brown	Top Soll	SA-1	0.65
		<b>D</b>	End of Test Pit a	t target depth of 0.65 metres on interred Bedrock		
<b>TD</b> 4	0.0 - 0.3	Dry	Brown		-	0.05
TP4	0.3 - 0.65	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	0.65
	0.0.0.05	0	End of Test Pit a	t target depth of 0.65 metres on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soll	-	
TP5	0.05 0.05	Desi	Duraum	Sandy Sill some Limestone and Granite Gravel and	-	0.05
	0.25 - 0.95	Dry	Brown End of Toot Dit of	CODDIes		0.95
	0.0.0.05	Dm	End of Test Pilla	Tan Sail	0.4.4	
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soli	SA-1	
TP6	0.25 - 0.45	Dry Dome to Wet	Brown	Sandy Slit	-	1 70
	0.45 - 1.7	Damp to wet	End of Test Pit s	st target depth of 1.7 metres on inferred Bedrock	5A-2	1.70
	0.0.0.25	Dry	Drown			
TD7	0.0 - 0.23	Dry	Brown	Gravely Sand Some Limeston and Granite Cobbles	-	0.50
11.7	0.25 - 0.50		End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0 50m on inferred Bedrock	- 1	0.50
	0.0 0.25	Dry	Brown			
	0.0 - 0.23	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
TP8	0.23 = 0.30 0.50 - 1.2	Dry	Brown	Sand some Gravel and Limestone and Granite Cobbles	- SΔ-1	1 20
	0.00 1.2	Diy	End of Test P	it at target depth of 1.2m on inferred Bedrock	0A-1	1.20
	0.0 - 0.30	Drv	Brown		SA-1	
	0.30 - 0.7	Drv	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
TP9	0.7 - 1.55	Drv	Brown	Sand and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-2	1.55
			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 1.55m on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.20	Drv	Brown	Top Soil	_	
TP10	0.20 - 0.70	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobble	-	0.70
			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.70m on inferred Bedrock	I	
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	1
TD44	0.30 - 0.45	Dry	Light Brown	Sandy Silt and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobble	SA-1	[
TP11	0.45 - 0.65	Dry	Light Brown	Shale	-	0.65
			End of Test P	it at target depth of 0.65m on infered Bedrock		
TD40	0.0 - 0.30	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	SA-1	0.30
IPIZ			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.30m on inferred Bedrock		
TD10	0.0 - 0.40	Dry	Brown	Top Soil trace Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-1	0.40
1612			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.40m on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.30	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP14	0.30 - 0.40	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	0.40
			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.40m on inferred Bedrock		
Notes						
" - "	Denotes no	soil sample tak	ken from the test pit for	range of depth indicated in table		

				Test Pit Log		
		Proiect No.:	ASC-438			
		Proiect:	Test Pit Investigation			
		Client:	BPE Development			
		Date:	May 3rd. 2018			
ENVIRON	MENIALJ	Location:	2285 Battersea Rd., K	ingston, ON		
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
TPID	Depth(m)	Moisture	Colour	Soil Type	Sample	Rock
	Bopan(m)	molocaro	Colour		Number	Depth (m)
	0.0 - 0.2	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP1	0.2 - 0.55	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	SA-1	
	0.55-1.6	Dry	Light Brown	Clayey Sand Some Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-2	1.60
		5	End of Test Pit at targe	et depth of 1.6 metres on inferred Limestone Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP2	0.25 - 0.45	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
	0.45 - 0.9	Dry	Light Brown	Gravely Sand Some Limeston and Granite Cobbles	-	0.90
		5	End of Test P	it at target depth of 0.9m on inferred Bedrock	<u> </u>	0.05
TP3	0.0 - 0.65	Dry	Brown	Top Soll	SA-1	0.65
		<b>D</b>	End of Test Pit a	t target depth of 0.65 metres on interred Bedrock		
<b>TD</b> 4	0.0 - 0.3	Dry	Brown		-	0.05
TP4	0.3 - 0.65	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	0.65
	0.0.0.05	0	End of Test Pit a	t target depth of 0.65 metres on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soll	-	
TP5	0.05 0.05	Desi	Duraum	Sandy Sill some Limestone and Granite Gravel and	-	0.05
	0.25 - 0.95	Dry	Brown End of Toot Dit of	CODDIes		0.95
	0.0.0.05	Dm	End of Test Pilla	Tan Sail	0.4.4	
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soli	SA-1	
TP6	0.25 - 0.45	Dry Dome to Wet	Brown	Sandy Slit	-	1 70
	0.45 - 1.7	Damp to wet	End of Test Pit s	st target depth of 1.7 metres on inferred Bedrock	5A-2	1.70
	0.0.0.25	Dru	Drown			
TD7	0.0 - 0.23	Dry	Brown	Gravely Sand Some Limeston and Granite Cobbles	-	0.50
11.7	0.25 - 0.50		End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0 50m on inferred Bedrock	- 1	0.50
	0.0 0.25	Dry	Brown			
	0.0 - 0.23	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
TP8	0.23 = 0.30 0.50 - 1.2	Dry	Brown	Sand some Gravel and Limestone and Granite Cobbles	- SΔ-1	1 20
	0.00 1.2	Diy	End of Test P	it at target depth of 1.2m on inferred Bedrock	0A-1	1.20
	0.0 - 0.30	Drv	Brown		SA-1	
	0.30 - 0.7	Drv	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	
TP9	0.7 - 1.55	Drv	Brown	Sand and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-2	1.55
		,	End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 1.55m on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.20	Drv	Brown	Top Soil	_	
TP10	0.20 - 0.70	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobble	-	0.70
			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.70m on inferred Bedrock	I	
	0.0 - 0.25	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	1
TD44	0.30 - 0.45	Dry	Light Brown	Sandy Silt and Gravel some Limestone and Granite Cobble	SA-1	[
TP11	0.45 - 0.65	Dry	Light Brown	Shale	-	0.65
			End of Test P	it at target depth of 0.65m on infered Bedrock		
TD40	0.0 - 0.30	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	SA-1	0.30
IPIZ			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.30m on inferred Bedrock		
TD10	0.0 - 0.40	Dry	Brown	Top Soil trace Limestone and Granite Cobbles	SA-1	0.40
1612			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.40m on inferred Bedrock		
	0.0 - 0.30	Dry	Brown	Top Soil	-	
TP14	0.30 - 0.40	Dry	Brown	Sandy Silt	-	0.40
			End of Test Pi	t at target depth of 0.40m on inferred Bedrock		
Notes						
" - "	Denotes no	soil sample tak	ken from the test pit for	range of depth indicated in table		